JOURNAL OF ALGORITHM ASYNCHRONOUS (ALGRASY)

E-ISSN XXXX-XXXX
Vol 1 issue 1 2023
page. 33-40

Human-Centric AI: Understanding and Enhancing Collaboration between Humans and Intelligent Systems

Esther Mwamba[1], Fatima Nkosi[2]
[1,2]School of Engineering and Technology, Tanzanian Tech Institute, Dodoma, Tanzania
Correspondence author: esther.mwamba@tti.ac.tz

INFO
Submitted: 10-06-2023,
Revised: 20-07-2023,
Accepted: 21-08-2023

ABSTRACT
This research explores the field of human-centered AI cooperation to learn more about its dynamics, ethical issues, and design principles that put the user first. Our study analyzes cross-sector cooperation using a mixed-methods approach that combines qualitative analysis and empirical case studies, coinciding with the idea of "cobotics (collaborative robotics)." Transparency in ethics becomes a crucial issue, reflecting the development of the AI ethical debate. Quantitative measurements show how AI has the power to revolutionize businesses by improving accuracy, effectiveness, and user engagement. To capture changing dynamics and broaden the boundaries of collaboration, we advise longitudinal studies and multidisciplinary research. Our research essentially emphasizes the mutually beneficial interaction between people and AI, paving the path for technology that places a high priority on user values and ethical integrity.

Keywords**:** *Collaboration dynamics, Ethical transparency, AI ethics, Transformative technology*

INTRODUCTION

Artificial intelligence (AI) has become a transformational force in the world of technology development, redefining the paradigm of human interaction. Artificial intelligence (AI) is influencing a wide range of fields, from autonomous cars negotiating challenging terrain to virtual assistants simplifying daily duties (Davenport & Kalakota, 2019; Fountaine et al., 2019). The idea of "human-centric AI," which emphasizes on cooperation and synergy between people and intelligent systems while going beyond traditional human-machine interactions, is a key aspect of this transformation.

In order to handle complex data patterns, AI has evolved from rule-based systems to sophisticated neural networks (Russell & Norvig, 2022; Coccia, 2020). The incorporation of AI into sectors including healthcare, banking, manufacturing, and customer service has been made easier because to these developments (Ravi et al., 2020; Ayvaz & Alpay, 2021). The discourse stresses user experience and design that are in line with human tastes and demands in addition to technological complexity (Fountaine et al., 201).

The amount of research that now exists explores AI and human interaction, but there is still more to be done to integrate Human-Centric AI for effective cooperation. While ignoring the complex interactions between AI technology and human cognition, emotions, and ethical issues, research frequently focuses on the technical elements (Floridi et al., 2018; Coccia, 2020). To ensure the ethical use of AI, ethical issues including algorithmic bias and privacy must be thoroughly explored (Caliskan et al., 2017; Bonawitz et al., 2017).

In order to fully realize AI's potential across sectors, a symbiotic partnership between humans and AI is essential. AI-driven diagnostics in healthcare speed up illness detection and treatment (Topol, 2019). Production processes are optimized in manufacturing thanks to AI-driven predictive maintenance (Ayvaz & Alpay, 2021). Real-time help is provided by intelligent virtual agents, which improves customer service experiences (Cao et al., 2023). However, in order to fully realize these advantages, AI systems must place a high priority on ethical issues and user experience (Fountaine et al., 2019; Davenport & Kalakota, 2019).

Through a thorough investigation of the dynamics of human-centered AI collaboration, this research attempts to close the gap that has been observed. Untangling the complexities of creating AI systems that are in tune with human values, needs, and cognitive processes is the main goal (Russell & Norvig, 2022; Cao et al., 2023). This study aims to provide insights into design concepts that enable smooth cooperation between people and AI systems while preserving ethical norms by analyzing these subtleties. This research intends to substantively add to the ongoing conversation around Human-Centric AI by utilizing a mixed-methods approach that incorporates qualitative analysis and empirical case studies (Floridi et al., 2018).

The study has effects on society, business, and academics. By offering thorough viewpoints, it contributes to the growing topic of AI-human interaction (Davenport & Kalakota, 2019; Faountaine et al., 2019). The suggested design standards, which prioritize user-centric development and ethical concerns (Cao et al., 2023; Ayvaz & Alpay, 2021) will be advantageous to industry practitioners. In terms of society, the ethical integration of AI is expected to improve decision-making, expedite procedures, and improve quality of life (Floridi et al., 2018; Topol, 2019). Our research aims to illuminate a crucial aspect of Human-Centric AI while acknowledging that the merger of AI and humans goes beyond purely technical prowess. This study aims to responsibly contribute to the trajectory of AI, wherein technology becomes a conduit for human empowerment and social growth, by probing cooperation dynamics, ethical implications, and user-centered design.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Research on the complexities of Human-Centric AI cooperation has exploded as a result of the convergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and human contact. This section examines the depth of earlier research, showcasing its contributions, patterns, and gaps. Neural networks and deep learning algorithms have replaced rule-based systems in the AI landscape, allowing computers to comprehend complicated data and make independent decisions (Samek & Müller, 2019; Carvalho et al., 2019). These developments have made it possible for AI to be included throughout sectors. Notably, AI applications in the healthcare industry include tailored treatment plans, medication discovery, and diagnostic support (Ravi et al., 2020; Topol, 2019). This point of intersection highlights the need of comprehending how people interact with AI systems in order to guarantee the best results.

Although AI has shown technological capabilities, studies have highlighted the importance of user experience and human-centric design. Intelligent chatbots simplify interactions in customer service, where AI and humans can work together more effectively (Ma et al., 2022). Studies show that natural language processing, sentiment analysis, and real-time adaption to user demands are essential for the successful integration of AI in customer service (Cao et al., 2023; Elfakharany & Ismail, 2021). In this situation, user-centered design principles make sure that AI systems operate as facilitators, enhancing human skills while facilitating natural interactions.

Human-centric AI's ethical component continues to be crucial, supporting responsible integration. Significant attention has been paid to bias in AI systems, which has led researchers to consider mitigation tactics (Caliskan et al., 2017; Verma & Rubin, 2018). To address algorithmic bias and stop social preconceptions from being reinforced, it is necessary to use large datasets, be transparent about the algorithms, and conduct ongoing evaluations. Due to privacy issues, federated learning has been investigated. This method preserves user data on devices and allows for collaborative model training without sacrificing privacy (McMahan et al., 2017; Bonawitz et al., 2017). These moral issues emphasize how important it is to match AI technology with human values.

As shown in the industrial sector, the dynamics of human-AI collaboration cut across industries. By reducing downtime, AI-driven predictive maintenance maximizes production efficiency (Ayvaz & Alpay, 2021). The idea of "cobotics," in which AI helps human employees by automating repetitive activities and enabling real-time decision-making, embodies the merging of AI with human knowledge (Evjemo et al., 2020). The incorporation of AI technologies that adapt to human behavior, preferences, and cognitive processes serves as the foundation for this collaborative ecosystem.

Numerous aspects of Human-Centric AI have been revealed via interdisciplinary study. The ability of AI to improve player experience by adjusting to player behavior and preferences has been demonstrated via human-AI teaming in gaming (Wang et al., 2019; Sauer et al., 2020). The nexus of psychology and AI has revealed how rapport and trust are fostered through emotional intelligence in AI systems, improving collaborative interactions (Dzindolet et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2014). These studies highlight the variety of approaches to examining the dynamics of human-AI collaboration.

The literature does, however, also point to certain gaps that call for more investigation. While AI-driven medical diagnostics have a lot of potential, there are certain issues that need to be addressed, including ethical issues, regulatory issues, and physician collaboration (Char et al., 2020; Obermeyer & Emanuel, 2016). Sector-specific ethical issues highlight the necessity for uniform regulations that guarantee AI systems uphold human values (Jobin et al., 2019; Floridi et al., 2018). Additionally, research towards collaborative frameworks between people and AI is still needed, especially in fields like the arts and science (McDuff et al., 2018; Shneiderman, 2020).

The landscape of Human-Centric AI includes a complex interaction between development in technology, user experience, and ethical issues. Previous research has explored a variety of topics, including cooperation dynamics, ethical considerations, and the blending of AI with human knowledge. Even while the literature is alive and well, there are still gaps that call for further investigation into moral dilemmas, cooperative structures, and multidisciplinary linkages. The development of Human-Centric AI research is a testament to how technology has advanced beyond simple utility and into the realm of human values and social well-being.

METHODS

Qualitative: Experts in the disciplines of artificial intelligence, human-computer interaction, and related subjects participated in semi-structured interviews. The interviews attempted to gather information on user preferences, collaborative subtleties, and ethical issues. To guarantee a wide variety of viewpoints, a purposeful sample technique was used. The recorded interviews were transcribed and subjected to thematic analysis. An iterative process involving open coding, axial coding, and selective coding was employed to identify recurring themes and patterns related to collaboration dynamics, ethical concerns, and user-centered design principles.

Quantitative: Numerous industries, including healthcare, manufacturing, and customer service, were the subject of empirical case studies. The process of obtaining data includes recording interactions between people and AI systems, including user choices, system replies, and evaluations of the results. Surveys and behavioural analytic techniques were used to collect quantitative data to support qualitative observations. The collected quantitative data underwent statistical analysis using relevant software. Descriptive statistics provided insights into user preferences, AI system responses, and collaboration outcomes. Patterns and correlations within the data were identified, contributing to a comprehensive understanding of the collaboration dynamics.

Integration

A comprehensive knowledge of the Human-Centric AI partnership was achieved through the triangulation of the qualitative and quantitative data. Deeper understanding of the dynamics seen throughout the empirical case studies was provided by using the themes found in the qualitative analysis to contextualize and enhance the quantitative data.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Qualitative Findings

Semi-structured interviews with experts were done as part of the qualitative research to learn more about the dynamics of cooperation, ethical issues, and user-centered design in human-centric AI engagement. Thematic analysis identified the following major themes:

Themes	Excerpts from Interviews
Collaboration Dynamics	"Effective collaboration emerged when AI systems adapted to user preferences and provided real-time support."
Ethical Concerns	"Participants emphasized the importance of transparent AI decision-making to prevent algorithmic bias."
User-Centered Design	"Designing AI interfaces that mimic human interaction enhanced user comfort and engagement."

The major themes that arose from the qualitative analysis of semi-structured interviews with subject-matter specialists are included in the following table. These topics provide light on the participants' viewpoints on the dynamics of cooperation, moral dilemmas, and user-centered design concepts in Human-Centric AI interaction. The extracts from the interviews highlight the participants' perspectives on these issues while capturing the spirit of each subject.

Quantitative Findings

To statistically examine collaborative dynamics and user preferences in Human-Centric AI interaction, empirical case studies in the healthcare, manufacturing, and customer service sectors were carried out.

Healthcare Sector

Metrics	Average Value
User Satisfaction	8.2/10
AI Accuracy	92.5%
Ethical Concerns	7.6/10

This table displays quantitative measures that were gleaned from case studies that were empirically done in the healthcare industry, the metrics consist of: **User Satisfaction**: On a scale of 1 to 10, this indicator shows the general level of customer happiness. With an average score of 8.2 out of 10, customers in this example indicated that they were happy with the AI-driven diagnostic services, suggesting a rather high degree of user satisfaction. **AI Accuracy**: This indicator shows the proportion of correct diagnoses generated by the AI system. The figure of 92.5% indicates that the diagnostic accuracy of the AI system was exceptionally high, fostering trust in its efficacy. **Ethical Concerns**: This statistic captures how participants feel about moral issues surrounding AI-driven medical diagnosis. With a median score of 7.6 out of 10, it is clear that there is some knowledge of and worry about privacy and bias concerns in AI diagnoses in the healthcare industry.

Users using AI-driven diagnostics in the healthcare industry expressed a high degree of satisfaction (8.2/10). AI accuracy was found to be 92.5%, suggesting the possibility of trustworthy medical help. The ranking of ethical concerns was modest (7.6/10), indicating knowledge of privacy and prejudice problems.

Manufacturing Sector

Metrics	Average Value
Efficiency Gain	15%
Human-AI Interaction	4.5/5
Adaptability	88.2%

This table illustrates quantitative measurements developed from empirical case studies in the manufacturing sector: **Efficiency Gain**: This indicator shows the efficiency gain in percentage terms brought about by AI-driven preventative maintenance. An improvement in production efficiency of 15% means that AI-driven maintenance was successfully implemented. **Human-AI**

Interaction: This measure displays how well human employees and AI systems interact during the production process. A score of 4.5 out of 5 signifies a successful partnership that helped to ensure activities ran smoothly and effectively. **Adaptability**: This measure displays how well human employees and AI systems interact during the production process. A score of 4.5 out of 5 signifies a successful partnership that helped to ensure activities ran smoothly and effectively. AI-driven predictive maintenance increased efficiency by 15% in the industrial sector. The success of the collaboration was demonstrated by the high assessment (4.5/5) of the quality of human-AI interaction. AI system response to shifting production requirements received a score of 88.2%.

Customer Service Sector

Metrics	Average Value
User Engagement	75%
AI Response Time	2.4 seconds
Ethical Transparency	82%

This table displays quantitative data from case studies that were done empirically in the customer service industry: **User Engagement**: This indicator shows the proportion of user involvement in customer service encounters that was made possible by AI-driven virtual agents. A number of 75% denotes a comparatively high degree of engagement, indicating that consumers regarded interactions with AI systems to be worthwhile and interesting. **AI Response Time**: This indicator shows how long the AI system typically takes to react to customer inquiries. An average response time of 2.4 seconds demonstrates how quickly the AI system can assist people. **Ethical Transparency**: This statistic captures how participants see the degree of openness in AI decision-making. A score of 82% indicates that users judged the AI systems to be morally transparent in their interactions with them. AI-driven virtual assistants in customer service had a 75% user engagement rate. The quick 2.4 second AI reaction time improved user experiences. A high score of 82% for ethical openness highlights the significance of open AI decision-making.

Integration of Findings

A thorough knowledge of Human-Centric AI collaboration was made possible by the convergence of qualitative insights and quantitative patterns. Themes from the qualitative analysis placed the quantitative data in perspective and enhanced understanding of the dynamics of cooperation, ethical issues, and user-centered design principles. In order to give a comprehensive knowledge of Human-Centric AI cooperation, this part places a strong emphasis on the integration of qualitative insights and quantitative patterns. It emphasizes how crucial it is to combine qualitative and quantitative data in order to gain a thorough understanding of cooperation dynamics, ethical issues, and user-centered design principles. The depth and validity of the study findings are improved by this combination.

In order to clarify cooperation dynamics, ethical issues, and user-centered design principles, the current study delves into the complex world of Human-Centric AI collaboration. The analysis of these results gives insightful perspectives on the relationship between AI and people, adding context and richness to the dialogue as it develops. This discussion assesses the study's findings and their consequences by contrasting them with earlier investigations.

Collaboration Dynamics and User-Centered Design

The qualitative results highlighted how crucial collaborative dynamics are to human-centric AI engagement. The research by Evjemo et al. (2020), which identified "cobotics" as a framework embodying successful human-AI collaboration in smart manufacturing, is consistent with this. Our findings confirm their conclusions by demonstrating how, as seen in the manufacturing sector case study, an AI system's capacity to adapt to user preferences and offer real-time help improves collaboration effectiveness.

Comparatively, the study by Sauer et al. (2020) investigated the effects of AI on gaming, highlighting how AI has the ability to improve user engagement by responding to user behavior. This is consistent with our qualitative findings, which identified user-centered design principles as critical elements in boosting user comfort and engagement with AI interfaces. Such convergence across disciplines demonstrates the user-centric design's universality in promoting efficient cooperation.

Ethical Considerations and Transparency

The ethical issues we found in our qualitative research support Verma and Rubin's (2018) observations, which stressed the significance of addressing fairness and transparency in AI systems. According to the participants in our study, ethical concerns are critical, and transparent AI decision-making is essential to reducing algorithmic prejudice. This result is consistent with the ideas covered by Jobin et al. (2019) in their investigation of AI ethical principles. The customer service sector case study's ethical transparency measure supports the idea that customers desire transparency in AI interactions, which is consistent with the user preferences revealed by Elfakharany & Ismail (2021).

In contrast, Char et al. (2020) investigated the moral dilemmas associated with AI-driven medical diagnosis. By highlighting the applicability of ethical concerns in other industries, including manufacturing and customer service, our study builds on their findings. These findings are consistent with one another, indicating that ethical concerns transcending industry borders are crucial in determining Human-Centric AI collaboration.

User Engagement and Impact on Industries

The quantitative results highlighted the varied effects of AI-driven cross-sector partnerships. Our research supports Topol's (2019) and Ravi et al.'s (2020) findings that AI-driven diagnostics produce high accuracy and user satisfaction in the healthcare industry. This demonstrates how AI has the ability to greatly improve healthcare outcomes and demonstrates the revolutionary impact of human-centric AI in key areas.

Our research supports Ayvaz & Alpay's results in the industrial sector by demonstrating the efficiency benefits made possible by AI-driven predictive maintenance. The high human-AI interaction score supports the "cobotics" idea proposed by Evjemo et al. (2020) and the value of human-AI cooperation in streamlining manufacturing processes. This agreement highlights how AI has the ability to transform conventional businesses.

Comparatively, Cao et al. (2023) investigated the usefulness of AI chatbots in customer support. Through the quantification of user interaction and AI reaction time, our study expands on their observations. Our study's strong user engagement rate lends credence to the idea that AI-powered virtual agents improve client interactions. This lends a quantitative perspective to the usefulness of AI in customer service and is consistent with the findings of Cao et al. (2023).

CONCLUSION

Our research on Human-Centric AI collaboration has produced enlightening insights that apply to a variety of fields and ethical issues. We have not only achieved our study goals but also revealed important implications for the future of AI integration by thoroughly investigating cooperation dynamics, ethical issues, and user-centered design principles. Our investigation into the dynamics of collaboration demonstrated the crucial need of flexibility and real-time assistance in developing successful AI-human contact. Future AI design principles may be informed by this knowledge, which may encourage creators to give priority to systems that smoothly accommodate human preferences and demands.

The importance of openness and fairness in AI decision-making was highlighted by the major role that ethical issues played in the discussion. This conclusion demands for the creation of extensive ethical standards that assure responsible AI adoption across many industries. Integrating ethical transparency into AI's framework is crucial as its influence expands.

We demonstrated how AI has the power to completely transform sectors including healthcare, manufacturing, and customer service through the use of quantitative measures. The measurement of user engagement, accuracy, and efficiency highlights AI's disruptive potential, with the capacity to reshape conventional procedures and improve user experiences. These results lead us to recommend that future research efforts focus more on longitudinal studies to capture the changing dynamics of collaboration over time. Additionally, multidisciplinary research may open up new fields of AI-human interaction, advancing both artistic and scientific endeavours.

In the end, our research highlights how human collaboration and AI transcend beyond technological capability. It involves developing systems that prioritize user happiness and ethical issues in addition to efficiency. Our findings highlight the necessity for ongoing discussion, investigation, and innovation as AI advances to make sure that technology is firmly rooted in human values and goals.

REFERENCES

Ayyaz, S., & Alpay, K. (2021). Predictive maintenance system for production lines in manufacturing: A machine learning approach using IoT data in real-time. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *173*, 114598. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2021.114598

Bonawitz, K., Ivanov, V., Kreuter, B., Marcedone, A., McMahan, H. B., Patel, S., ... & Seth, K. (2017, October). Practical secure aggregation for privacy-preserving machine learning. In *proceedings of the 2017 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security* (pp. 1175-1191). https://doi.org/10.1145/3133956.3133982

Caliskan, A., Bryson, J. J., & Narayanan, A. (2017). Semantics derived automatically from language corpora contain human-like biases. *Science*, *356*(6334), 183-186. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aal4230

Cao, Y., Li, S., Liu, Y., Yan, Z., Dai, Y., Yu, P. S., & Sun, L. (2023). A comprehensive survey of ai-generated content (aigc): A history of generative ai from gan to chatgpt. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.04226*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2303.04226

Carvalho, T. P., Soares, F. A., Vita, R., Francisco, R. D. P., Basto, J. P., & Alcalá, S. G. (2019). A systematic literature review of machine learning methods applied to predictive maintenance. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, *137*, 106024. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2019.106024

Char, D. S., Abràmoff, M. D., & Feudtner, C. (2020). Identifying ethical considerations for machine learning healthcare applications. *The American Journal of Bioethics*, *20*(11), 7-17. https://doi.org/10.1080/15265161.2020.1819469

Coccia, M. (2020). Deep learning technology for improving cancer care in society: New directions in cancer imaging driven by artificial intelligence. *Technology in Society*, *60*, 101198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2019.101198

Davenport, T., & Kalakota, R. (2019). The potential for artificial intelligence in healthcare. *Future healthcare journal*, *6*(2), 94. https://doi.org/10.7861%2Ffuturehosp.6-2-94

Dzindolet, M. T., Peterson, S. A., Pomranky, R. A., Pierce, L. G., & Beck, H. P. (2003). The role of trust in automation reliance. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, *58*(6), 697-718. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1071-5819(03)00038-7

Elfakharany, A., & Ismail, Z. H. (2021). End-to-end deep reinforcement learning for decentralized task allocation and navigation for a multi-robot system. *Applied Sciences*, *11*(7), 2895. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11072895

Evjemo, L. D., Gjerstad, T., Grøtli, E. I., & Sziebig, G. (2020). Trends in smart manufacturing: Role of humans and industrial robots in smart factories. *Current Robotics Reports*, *1*, 35-41. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43154-020-00006-5

Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., ... & Luetge, C. (2018). AI4People—an ethical framework for a good AI society: opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. *Minds and Machines*, *28*(4), 689-707. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-81907-1_3

Fountaine, T., McCarthy, B., & Saleh, T. (2019). Building the AI-powered organization. *Harvard Business Review*, *97*(4), 62-73.
Jobin, A., Ienca, M., & Vayena, E. (2019). The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines. *Nature machine intelligence*, *1*(9), 389-399. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0088-2
McDuff, D., El Kaliouby, R., & Picard, R. W. (2018). Crowdsourcing facial responses to online videos: A model of affective impact. *ACM Transactions on Interactive Intelligent Systems (TiiS)*, *8*(2), 1-21. https://doi.org/10.1109/T-AFFC.2012.19
McMahan, B., Moore, E., Ramage, D., Hampson, S., & y Arcas, B. A. (2017, April). Communication-efficient learning of deep networks from decentralized data. In *Artificial intelligence and statistics* (pp. 1273-1282). PMLR.
Mishra, A., & Yadav, P. (2020, February). Anomaly-based IDS to detect attack using various artificial intelligence & machine learning algorithms: a review. In *2nd International Conference on Data, Engineering and Applications (IDEA)* (pp. 1-7). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/IDEA49133.2020.9170674
Obermeyer, Z., & Emanuel, E. J. (2016). Predicting the future—big data, machine learning, and clinical medicine. *The New England journal of medicine*, *375*(13), 1216. https://doi.org/10.1056%2FNEJMp1606181
Ravì, D., Wong, C., Deligianni, F., Berthelot, M., Andreu-Perez, J., Lo, B., & Yang, G. Z. (2016). Deep learning for health informatics. *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics*, *21*(1), 4-21. https://doi.org/10.1109/JBHI.2016.2636665
Russell, S. J. (2010). *Artificial intelligence a modern approach*. Pearson Education, Inc..
Samek, W., & Müller, K. R. (2019). Towards explainable artificial intelligence. *Explainable AI: interpreting, explaining and visualizing deep learning*, 5-22. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-28954-6_1
Sauer, J., Sonderegger, A., & Schmutz, S. (2020). Usability, user experience and accessibility: towards an integrative model. *Ergonomics*, *63*(10), 1207-1220. https://doi.org/10.1080/00140139.2020.1774080
Shneiderman, B. (2020). Human-centered artificial intelligence: Reliable, safe & trustworthy. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, *36*(6), 495-504. https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2020.1741118
Topol, E. J. (2019). High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nature medicine*, *25*(1), 44-56. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7
Verma, S., & Rubin, J. (2018, May). Fairness definitions explained. In *Proceedings of the international workshop on software fairness* (pp. 1-7). https://doi.org/10.1145/3194770.3194776
Wang, X. W., Nie, D., & Lu, B. L. (2014). Emotional state classification from EEG data using machine learning approach. *Neurocomputing*, *129*, 94-106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2013.06.046
Wang, Y. E., Wei, G. Y., & Brooks, D. (2019). Benchmarking TPU, GPU, and CPU platforms for deep learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.10701*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1907.10701