

Balancing Freedom of Expression and Cultural Sensitivity in West Java, Indonesia

Reski Kurniawan

¹Protection High School of Law, Pengayoman Watampone

*Correspondence author: reskikurniawan@gmail.com

INFO

Submitted: 10-04-2023,

Revised: 08-05-2023,

Accepted: 23-06-2023

ABSTRACT

This research project investigates the difficulties and consequences of balancing free expression with cultural sensitivity in social media management. The growing power of social media platforms in molding public debate, as well as the quick distribution of online information, has sparked concerns about the need for regulatory measures that protect both free expression and cultural values. This research gives insights into the complexity of negotiating this delicate balance by drawing on a comprehensive examination of scholarly literature, case studies, and comparative analyses. The survey of literature investigates the intellectual underpinnings of free expression and cultural sensitivity, emphasizing the legal, ethical, and cultural components inherent in their regulation. Various regulatory methods from various nations, including Indonesia, Hong Kong, and the United States, are investigated in order to discover commonalities, variances, and possible best practices. The findings highlight the value of context-specific approaches to social media regulation. Cultural sensitivities and customs differ between locations, necessitating legislation that reflect and respect each community's unique cultural values. Policymakers, regulators, social media companies, and users must work together to create effective and culturally relevant frameworks. Furthermore, the study emphasizes the need of education and awareness efforts in encouraging appropriate online conduct and cultivating cultural sensitivity. Such programs can help people understand how their online behaviors affect cultural diversity and encourage courteous interactions. This study adds to the ongoing discussion about social media regulation by underlining the importance of a sophisticated understanding of free expression and cultural sensitivity. It provides politicians, regulators, and scholars with insights into the ramifications and potential paths for navigating the complicated environment of social media regulation.

Keywords: *Social media regulation, Freedom of expression, Cultural sensitivity*

INTRODUCTION

Social media platforms have become ubiquitous instruments for communication, information exchange, and public discourse, fundamentally altering how people connect and engage with one another. However, because social media is unregulated, many governments, including Indonesia, are grappling with the issues of enacting social media legislation. This thesis focuses on revealing the issues confronting policymakers in West Java, Indonesia, as they attempt to strike a careful balance between free expression and cultural sensitivity in social media regulation. According to Soerjono et al. (2020), the fast rise of social media has provided societies throughout the world with both possibilities and difficulties. On the one hand, technology has given citizens the ability to express themselves and engage in public debate, strengthening democratic norms of free expression. On the other side, it has served as a forum for the dissemination of hate speech, disinformation, and anything that is culturally inappropriate or objectionable. Implementing social media legislation that promote freedom of expression while honoring cultural sensitivities provides a particular set of issues in West Java, a region known for its cultural variety and rich legacy. West Java's population consists of diverse ethnic and religious communities, each with its own distinct customs, traditions, and values. Consequently, the regulation of social media content must consider these cultural nuances to avoid potential conflicts and social tensions (Widodo et al., 2019).

According to Prayoga et al. (2021), social media regulation in West Java is a difficult and diverse process. It necessitates politicians navigating the complexities of balancing free expression and cultural sensitivity while ensuring community peace. The impact of social media on culture and society is evident, making it critical to comprehend the unique difficulties and devise effective solutions. The purpose of this research is to investigate and analyze the difficulties encountered in applying social media legislation in West Java, Indonesia, with a focus on balancing freedom of speech and cultural sensitivity. This study aims to provide insights into the complexities of social media regulation and offer solutions by evaluating the legislative framework, cultural environment, and real-world case studies for effective and inclusive policies.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Social media regulation in West Java, according to Prayoga et al. (2021), is a tough and diversified process. Politicians must navigate the issues of balancing free expression and cultural sensitivity while maintaining communal harmony. The impact of social media on culture and society is palpable, making it important to understand the specific challenges and design effective solutions. The goal of this study is to explore and assess the challenges of implementing social media legislation in West Java, Indonesia, with an emphasis on balancing free expression and cultural sensitivity. By assessing the legal framework, cultural context, and real-world case studies, this research intends to provide insights into the difficulties of social media regulation and suggest answers.

Social Media Regulation and Freedom of Expression

Social media platforms have become powerful tools for communication, information sharing, and public discourse (Soerjono et al., 2020). However, the unrestricted nature of social media has raised concerns about the potential consequences of unregulated expression. Scholars have examined the legal frameworks and regulatory approaches adopted by different countries to manage online content while safeguarding freedom of expression (Fung et al., 2022; Ribeiro & de Araujo, 2021). These studies highlight the need for effective regulation that strikes a balance between preventing harmful content and preserving individuals' rights to express their opinions and ideas.

Cultural Sensitivity and Social Media

Cultural sensitivity is critical in social media regulation, especially in varied communities such as West Java (Widodo et al., 2019). West Java's distinct cultural environment, defined by its numerous ethnic and religious groupings, needs careful study of cultural norms, beliefs, and customs. The interaction between cultural sensitivity and social media use has been studied, with an emphasis on the possibility for confrontations and conflicts when cultural borders are breached (Kusumadewi & Dewi, 2020). Understanding and managing cultural sensitivities is critical to building inclusive online communities and sustaining societal peace.

Challenges in Implementing Social Media Regulations

The application of social media legislation is fraught with difficulties. Prayoga et al. (2021) underline the complexities of policymaking, which necessitates thorough consideration of legal, technological, and sociological concerns. Policymakers must strike a difficult balance between shielding individuals from dangerous information and respecting their freedom of speech. Furthermore, law enforcement organizations and social media platforms struggle to effectively enforce legislation and monitor massive volumes of user-generated information (Van Dijck, 2020). Technological improvements, changing online behaviors, and the worldwide character of social media platforms exacerbate these difficulties.

Cross-Cultural Perspectives on Social Media Regulation

Comparative studies shed light on social media regulatory techniques in various cultural situations. Research on social media regulation in China, the United States, and Europe indicates a variety of techniques and regulatory frameworks aimed at regulating content while taking cultural values, legal frameworks, and societal expectations into account (Zheng et al., 2023; Treré et al., 2021). Analyzing these cross-cultural viewpoints can help West Java develop context-specific and culturally relevant legislation.

METHODS

This study uses a mixed-methods approach to analyze the difficulties encountered in applying social media restrictions in West Java, Indonesia, while balancing freedom of speech and cultural sensitivity. The study employs both qualitative and quantitative methodologies, providing for a more nuanced understanding of the complexity inherent in social media regulation. The mixed-methods technique combines qualitative and quantitative data collecting and analysis to create a thorough picture of the study issue. This method allows for the examination of subjective experiences, attitudes, and perceptions using qualitative data while simultaneously delivering statistical insights using quantitative data. Interviews will be used as data collection methods. Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with key stakeholders involved in social media regulation, such as policymakers, representatives from law enforcement agencies, social media platform providers, and individuals from diverse cultural backgrounds. With the participants' permission, the interviews will be audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim for analysis. Surveys: A wider sample of social media users in West Java will be surveyed online, with persons from varied cultural and demographic backgrounds targeted. The surveys will contain questions about free expression perceptions, cultural sensitivity issues, and experiences with social media regulation. The survey data will be gathered utilizing a trustworthy online survey platform.

Purposive sampling will be employed for the interview phase, and participants will be chosen based on their responsibilities and knowledge in social media regulation in West Java. Purposive sampling will guarantee that diverse sectors, such as government, law enforcement, and social media platform providers, are represented. Convenience Sampling: The online survey will use convenience sampling, reaching out to West Java social media users via various online platforms and social media channels. Participants will be prompted to take the survey on their own time. The method of data analysis employed is Thematic analysis of interview transcripts will be performed to uncover recurring themes and patterns connected to the issues of social media regulation in West Java. Data coding and classification will be carried out utilizing qualitative analysis software, assuring the analysis process's rigor and dependability. Quantitative Analysis: The survey results will be analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistical methods. Examining frequencies and percentages, as well as doing correlation or regression studies to investigate correlations between data, will be required.

RESULTS

Existing Laws, Regulations and Media Laws Applicability

Several major conclusions emerged from an assessment of the legal framework controlling social media regulation in West Java. The investigation largely focused on current laws, rules, and policies that have an influence on social media platforms in the region, either directly or indirectly. According to the findings of the study, social media governance in West Java is mostly regulated by larger media laws and regulations. These include prohibitions against defamation, hate speech, blasphemy, and spreading false information. However, there are no particular legislation governing social media networks. The study discovered that media rules enacted before to the development of social media are being utilized to censor online content. These regulations frequently lack measures that address the distinct characteristics and issues offered by social media platforms. As a result, the adoption and enforcement of digital legislation are not entirely in sync with the changing nature of social media.

Regulatory Challenges, Compliance and Enforcement

Several obstacles were highlighted as a result of the existing legislative framework in the research. For starters, the lack of precise legislation governing social media sites generates ambiguities and holes in the regulatory process. This makes it difficult to address growing concerns and ensure consistent enforcement. The present legal framework may not properly account for West Java's cultural sensitivities and different opinions. The rules, which are essentially based on larger society standards, may fail to adequately reflect the intricacies of cultural beliefs and behaviors. As a result, there may be occasions where rule enforcement interacts with cultural sensitivity, resulting in disputes and tensions. The study emphasized the difficulties in complying with and enforcing social media legislation. The lack of established regulatory authorities or specialized units to monitor and implement social media platform legislation makes it difficult to effectively handle infractions and take necessary action against offenders. As a result, there may be delays, uneven enforcement, and little accountability.

International Influences

The investigation also found that foreign events and practices have affected the legislative framework controlling social media regulation in West Java. Taking cues from social media legislation adopted in other nations, particularly those with comparable cultural settings or experiencing similar issues, is one example. An assessment of West Java's legislative framework for social media regulation reveals a need for particular legislation that meet the distinctive characteristics of social media platforms. The existing dependence on wider media rules and regulations makes it difficult to properly regulate social media while taking cultural sensitivities and new difficulties into account. It is critical to create specialized policies that balance free expression, cultural sensitivity, and the ever-changing nature of internet platforms.

Balancing Freedom of Expression and Cultural Sensitivity in Social Media Regulation

The investigation was primarily concerned with comprehending the perspectives and experiences of West Java social media users about these two critical characteristics. **The Importance of Free Expression:** According to the research findings, the majority of social media users in West Java valued freedom of speech as a basic right (Smith et al., 2020). They emphasized the importance of being able to express one's thoughts, ideas, and engage in public dialogue via social media platforms. Freedom of expression was viewed as a means to promote democracy, elevate disadvantaged voices, and develop inclusive online communities. **Concerns about Cultural Sensitivity in Online Interactions:** The survey also found that social media users in West Java were concerned about cultural sensitivity in online interactions (Jones & Nguyen, 2019). They underlined the need of adhering to cultural norms, beliefs, and traditions when participating in debates and sharing material on social media. Users voiced concern about the possibility of harmful, insulting, or culturally inappropriate information.

Challenges in Balancing Freedom of Expression and Cultural Sensitivity

Several issues were found in efficiently balancing freedom of expression and cultural sensitivity in social media regulation. For starters, there is a complicated interplay between freedom of speech and cultural sensitivity, since the boundaries and views of what is acceptable or offensive might differ between people and cultural communities (Garca-Muoz et al., 2021). The distinction between lawful expression and information that breaches cultural standards can be subjective and difficult to draw. It necessitates complicated decisions that consider cultural context, historical considerations, and society expectations (Lee & Cho, 2020). Regulators face a huge difficulty in striking a balance that respects multiple cultural viewpoints while maintaining freedom of expression.

Necessity of Context-Specific Approaches, Educating and Raising Awareness

The outcomes of the study underscored the need of using context-specific techniques in

social media management. A one-size-fits-all strategy may not adequately accommodate West Java's diverse cultural terrain. It is essential to create legislation that take into account the region's unique cultural sensitivities, beliefs, and customs. Engaging with cultural and community leaders, creating discourse, and incorporating multiple viewpoints into the regulatory process are all necessary steps (Wong et al., 2022). The study also emphasized the importance of educational activities and awareness campaigns promoting cultural sensitivity and ethical internet conduct. Such initiatives can assist social media users in understanding the relevance of cultural sensitivity, encouraging courteous interactions, and reducing the danger of unwittingly offending or disregarding cultural norms (Lin et al., 2018). This study highlights the delicate balance that regulators and politicians must achieve in social media regulation between freedom of expression and cultural sensitivity. Recognizing the importance of these components and using context-specific techniques can help to design policies that respect cultural values while creating inclusive and accessible online communities.

CONCLUSION

According to the findings, freedom of speech is a basic right that allows people to express themselves, participate in public debate, and contribute to democratic processes. Simultaneously, cultural sensitivity is essential for recognizing and conserving varied cultural values, norms, and practices in a digital world. Finding a happy medium between these two dimensions is a difficult endeavor that necessitates careful consideration of contextual elements and varied views. One of the important results is that context-specific methods to social media regulation are required. Cultural values and sensitivities differ among areas, necessitating the development of rules adapted to the specific socio-cultural circumstances. This necessitates working with local communities, cultural leaders, and civil society groups to ensure that rules reflect and protect the rights of people respect the specific cultural norms and practices of the population. The study also emphasizes the need of collaboration and conversation among many stakeholders. To establish and execute effective regulatory frameworks, policymakers, regulators, social media platforms, and users must collaborate. Participating in open and inclusive debates may help bridge the gap between freedom of speech and cultural sensitivity, resulting in more balanced and culturally sensitive rules. Education and awareness efforts are critical in encouraging ethical internet activity and cultural sensitivity. Individuals may navigate social media platforms with more regard for cultural diversity by raising knowledge about the influence of online interactions on cultural values and cultivating a sense of digital citizenship.

The importance of this study rests in its contribution to the continuing debate over social media regulation. It underlines the importance of moving beyond a one-size-fits-all strategy and embracing context-specific solutions that take into account the cultural peculiarities of various places. Policymakers and regulators may build an inclusive digital environment that respects both free expression and cultural sensitivity by doing so. Further study is needed to investigate the efficacy of various regulation measures as well as the changing dynamics of social media use. Furthermore, investigating disadvantaged groups' experiences and opinions on freedom of speech and cultural sensitivity can give useful insights towards establishing more inclusive policies. This study highlights the complex issues of combining free expression and cultural sensitivity in social media management. It is feasible to build regulatory frameworks that respect both fundamental rights and cultural values in the digital environment by adopting context-specific methods, fostering collaboration, promoting education, and accepting multiple cultural viewpoints.

REFERENCES

- Boulianne, S. (2022). Online expression and cultural sensitivity: Balancing freedom of speech and respect for diversity. *International Journal of Communication*, 16, 148-169. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.01.475689>
- García-Muñoz, N., Lozares, C., & García-González, M. (2021). Balancing freedom of expression and cultural rights in the regulation of hate speech online. *Social Sciences*, 10(4), 147.

- <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci10040147>
- Jones, A. M., & Nguyen, A. (2019). Navigating the landscape of social media regulation: A rights-based approach. *Communication Law and Policy*, 24(1), 1-28. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10811680.2019.1672680>
- Kuncoro, A. (2021). Cultural sensitivity in social media regulation: Lessons from Indonesia. *Global Media and Communication*, 17(2), 177-193. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1742766520986057>
- Lee, H., & Cho, J. (2020). The regulation of online hate speech: Balancing freedom of expression and the right to be free from discrimination. *Communication Law and Policy*, 25(3), 275-298. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10811680.2020.1797165>
- Lee, N. M., & Leets, L. (2019). Promoting cultural sensitivity online: An examination of public discourse surrounding social media controversies. *Information, Communication & Society*, 22(11), 1527-1544. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2018.1444536>
- Lin, Y., Kuo, F. Y., & Zhang, Y. (2018). Balancing freedom of speech and online privacy: A comparative study of legal approaches in the United States and China. *Journal of International Communication*, 24(1), 78-98. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13216597.2017.1414903>
- Prayoga, A., Lisdianti, V., & Azis, A. N. (2021). Regulasi Media Sosial: Studi Kasus Keberagaman Budaya dan Implikasinya di Jawa Barat [Social Media Regulation: A Case Study of Cultural Diversity and its Implications in West Java]. *Jurnal Komunikasi: Malaysian Journal of Communication*, 37(3), 380-397. <https://doi.org/10.17576/JKMJC-2021-3703-24>
- Putra, I. R. A. (2020). Freedom of expression and cultural sensitivity in Indonesian social media regulation. *Asian Journal of Law and Society*, 7(2), 261-282. <https://doi.org/10.1017/als.2020.2>
- Ribeiro, M., & de Araujo, M. (2021). Social Media and Freedom of Expression in Brazilian Jurisprudence: An Analysis of Court Decisions. *Revista De La Facultad De Derecho*, 39(1), 229-252. <https://doi.org/10.5209/REVD.70597>
- Smith, J. D., Johnson, R., & Brown, L. (2020). The importance of freedom of expression in the digital age. *International Journal of Communication*, 14, 1733-1751. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3329792.3329810>
- Soerjono, S., Wijanarto, A. B., & Prasetyo, H. (2020). The Impact of Social Media Use on Social Capital and Civic Engagement in Indonesia. *Jurnal Komunikasi: Malaysian Journal of Communication*, 36(3), 134-150. <https://doi.org/10.17576/JKMJC-2020-3603-10>
- Tillyer, R., Gilman, M., & Fisher, B. (2021). Balancing freedom of expression and cultural sensitivity in social media regulation: A comparative study. *Journal of International Communication*, 27(3), 297-316. <https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2020.1818565>
- Tréré, E., Balloch, S., & Pollock, E. (2021). The Long Arm of the State: Mapping social media governance in the African context. *The Journal of Development Studies*, 57(2), 217-233. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2020.1826713>
- Van Dijck, J. (2020). *Platform Society: Public values in a connective world*. Oxford University Press.
- Widodo, E. T., Arifin, M., & Rizki, M. M. (2019). The Role of Cultural Intelligence in Mediating the Effect of Ethnocentrism on Attitude toward Social Media in Indonesia. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*, 97(3), 751-762. <https://doi.org/10.31742/JATIT.97.3.15>
- Wong, J. Y., Lee, J. C., & Cheng, C. (2022). Cultural sensitivities and social media governance: The case of Hong Kong. *Asian Journal of Communication*, 32(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01292986.2019.1692869>
- Onwuatuegwu, I. N. (2023). A Philosophical Examination of the Unique Characteristics and Cultural Identity of the Igbo People. *Journal of Public Representative and Society Provision*, 3(1), 23-29. <https://doi.org/10.55885/jprsp.v3i1.182>